

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested. Claims 2-8 are pending in the present application, with claims 2, 3 and 7 being independent. Claim 1 has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer to the subject matter contained therein. Claims 7-8 have been added by this amendment, which do not add any new subject matter.

Amendments Previously Submitted

In the Office Action dated January 30, 2003, the Examiner objected to the specification because of improper arrangement. Applicant submitted on April 24, 2003, a substitute specification, placing the present application into proper U.S. form.

Additionally, claims 2-6 were added on April 24, 2003, which are presented hereinabove. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner indicate entry of claims 2-6, and newly added claims 7-8, and examine all claims on the merits.

Claim Rejections

The Examiner rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, and under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Dureau et al. (US Patent No. 6,118,472) in view of Detwiler et al.

(US Patent No. 5,825,814). These rejections were traversed, however, because claim 1 has been cancelled, these rejections are now rendered moot.

New Claim 7

Independent claim 7, which is representative of claim 1 as submitted on April 24, 2003, is directed to a system for transmission of data to requesting users over a wideband satellite transmission channel. For requesting the data, the users are connected to a base station via a shortwave radio path for transmitting data according to the TCP/IP protocol.

Applicant respectfully submits, in an effort to favorably further the prosecution of the present application, that the cited references, *Dureau et al.* or *Detwiler et al.*, either alone or in combination, fail to substantiate a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

Applicant provided extensive arguments in the amendment submitted on April 24, 2003, as to why the combination of *Dureau et al.* or *Detwiler et al.* does not render the claimed subject matter obvious. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider these arguments in examining claims 2-8.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, this application appears to be in condition for allowance and the Examiner is, therefore, requested to reexamine the application and pass the claims to issue.


Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Martin Geissler (Reg. 51,011) at telephone number (703) 205-8000, which is located in the Washington, DC area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By



James T. Eller, Jr., #39,538

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

JTE/MRG:tm
4100-0104P